

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,173	11/12/2003	Amit Shachak	1005-4-01 USP	6121
	7590 07/17/200 SON FARHADIAN	7	EXAMINER	
CENTURY IP			FIGUEROA, MARISOL	
P.O. BOX 7333 NEWPORT BEACH, CA 92658-7333			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2617	
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	07/17/2007	. PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/706,173	SHACHAK, AMIT				
Office Action Summary	<u> </u>					
,	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Marisol Figueroa	2617				
Period for Reply		00.700.000.000				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tiviliapply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed  n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 M	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 May 2007</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,7-13 and 17-20 is/are pending in the day of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,7-13 and 17-20 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 12 November 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. The 112 rejections have been withdrawn with respect to the applicant's arguments and the amendments.

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 7-13, and 17-20 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Furthermore, Applicant's amendment necessitated some new grounds of rejection presented in this office action.

First, the Applicant argues that Okkonen fails to determine if the configuration data stored in the mobile device has been modified by a user, by comparing new configuration data with old configuration data, and instead, the disclosed system is solely dedicated to detecting the physical change of a SIM card in a mobile communication device (page 6, lines 22-26).

The Examiner respectfully disagrees. On paragraphs 56-59 of Okkonen, clearly states that the SIM card change is determined by comparing SIM card information read from the currently available SIM card (i.e., new configuration data within the mobile device) with SIM card information stored in non-volatile memory of the electronic device (i.e., old configuration stored in a memory being part of the mobile device) and reports the changes in SIM card information, when detected. This is not just a detection of a physical change of a SIM card.

Also, the Applicant argues that once a change of the SIM card is detected, the data reported to the service coordinator is fixed and permanent since it includes the hardware configuration of the electronic device (page 7, lines 1-3).

The Examiner respectfully disagrees. On paragraph 38 of Okkonen discloses that the hardware, software, and firmware information of the electronic device can be reported in

conjunction with reports of change in SIM card information. This hardware information is optional.

Furthermore, the Applicant argues that neither Okkonen nor any of the other references suggest that the configuration data can include APN, SMSC, IP address, SID of a mobile device (see page 7, lines 5-7 of Applicant's remarks).

The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Regarding the rejections of claim 10 and 20, Hiltunen teaches that a SIM card stores information used by the microprocessor to enable the telephone to communication on an appropriate network, and stores subscriber related data such system ID. This information comprises configuration data of a mobile device.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., Okkonen does not teach a method or system for dealing with user changeable configuration data (e.g., APN, SIMS, IP address, SID) which is stored for easy modification where each parameter of the configuration data is monitored and reported, see page 7, lines 4-6 of Applicant's arguments) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

- 4. In response to Applicant arguments that Okkonen teaches away from the recited elements in claims 1 and 11 (page 6, line 23 page 8 lines 1-14). "Arguments that the alleged anticipatory prior art is 'nonanalogous art' or 'teaches away from the invention' or is not recognized as solving the problem solved by the claimed invention, [are] not 'germane' to a rejection under section 102." See MPEP § 2131.05.
- With respect to claims 3 and 13. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, it would have been obvious to combine Okkonen with Roth because both references are directed to detecting a change in information stored in a mobile device and transmitting the changes to a remote server.
- 6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 7-10 and 17-20 and the references of Hoshino et al. (US 2004/0006572), Beadles et al. (US 2003/0037040), and Minborg et al. (US 6,922,721) have been considered but are moot since these claims were rejected in the previous office action (11/01/2006) in view of Childs et al. (US 2002/0107868 A1), Bartels et al. (US 2003/0208704 A1), and Hiltunen et al. (US 2004/0042604 A1).

Application/Control Number: 10/706,173 Page 5

Art Unit: 2617

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

8. Claims 1, 2, 11, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by OKKONEN et al. (US 2004/0166839 A1).

Regarding claim 1, Okkonen discloses a method of updating database records associated with configuration data stored in a memory being part of at least one mobile device in a mobile communication network, the method comprising:

determining whether configuration data stored in said memory has been modified by a user of the mobile device by comparing new configuration data within said mobile device with old configuration data stored within said memory (p.0036, lines 7-12; p.0040; p.0038; p.0056-0057; an agent in the electronic device determines when a SIM card within the mobile device has changed (i.e., modification in configuration of mobile device) and detects the change by comparing the SIM card information read from the currently available SIM card (i.e., new configuration within said mobile) to SIM card information stored in non-volatile memory of the electronic device (i.e., old configuration stored in the memory of the mobile device)), wherein the configuration data is used by a processor of the mobile device to identify, process or route communication signals between the mobile device and one or more communication stations in the mobile communication network (p.0056, lines 7-11; the SIM card stores data such as an end-

user's unique identity and user's account number with a carrier network, which is notoriously well known that allows wireless communication with the carrier the user has an account with); and

transmitting the new configuration data to a server system for updating respective records of a database in the mobile communication network, in response to the configuration data being modified in the mobile device (p.0037-0038; p.0039, lines 1-6; p.0048, lines 1-5; p.0049; the agent of the electronic device reports the changes of information contained in the SIM card to a service coordinator (i.e. server system) which saves the changes, to information contained in the SIM card, in its database and the database is updated), wherein the updating of the respective records of the database comprises:

comparing the received configuration data with the respective records of the database; and whenever it is determined that the received configuration data is different than that stored in the respective records of the database, updating the database by replacing at least one record in the database based on the modified configuration data (p.0059, lines 1-4; p.0039, lines 1-6; p.0049, lines 1-7; the SIM card change information is communicated to the service coordinator when it is determined that the SIM card has changed and the database of the service coordinator is updated, it is inherent the records of the database are check against the information received to update the database),

such that a customer service agent can access the updated database records to determine the mobile device's configuration for trouble shooting purposes (p.0060, lines 14-16; the system has the capability to be used for trouble shooting purposes, furthermore, the language used by the applicant merely suggests or makes optional those features described as "statements of intended Application/Control Number: 10/706,173

Art Unit: 2617

use" (i.e., "such that", "for trouble shooting purposes"; such language does not require the steps to be performed or does not limit the scope of a claim limitation, MPEP § 2106 (c), 2111.04).

Regarding claim 2, Okkonen discloses the method of claim 1, further comprising: transmitting the configuration data to the server in real time (p.0038; the agent reports the changes of SIM card to the service coordinator as they are detected, i.e. real time).

Regarding claim 11, Okkonen discloses a system for updating database records associated with configuration data stored in a memory being part of a mobile device(s) in a mobile communication network, the system comprising;

a comparator for determining whether the configuration data stored in said memory has been modified by a user of the mobile device by comparing new configuration data within said mobile device with old configuration data stored within said memory ((p.0036, lines 7-12; p.0040; p.0038; p.0056-0057; an agent in the electronic device determines when a SIM card within the mobile device has changed (i.e. modification in configuration of mobile device) and detects the change by comparing the SIM card information read from the currently available SIM card (i.e., new configuration within said mobile) to SIM card information stored in non-volatile memory of the electronic device (i.e., old configuration stored in the memory of the mobile device)),

wherein the configuration data is used by a processor of the mobile device to identify, process or route communication signals between the mobile device and one or more communication stations in the mobile communication network (p.0056, lines 7-11; the SIM card stores data such as an end-user's unique identity and user's account number with a carrier

network, which is notoriously well known that allows wireless communication with the carrier the user has an account with); and

a transmitter for transmitting the new configuration data to a server system in the mobile communication network for updating respective records of a database, in response to the new configuration data being modified in the mobile device (p.0037-0038; p.0039, lines 1-6; p.0048, lines 1-5; p.0049; the agent of the electronic device reports the changes of information contained in the SIM card to a service coordinator, i.e. server system, which saves the changes in its database, and updates the database, furthermore, it is inherent that the portable device includes a transmitter for reporting or otherwise transmit the SIM card changes to the service coordinator),

wherein the received configuration data is directly compared with the respective records of the database, and at least one record is updated based on information contained in the configuration data, when it is determined that the received configuration data is different from that stored in the respective records of the databases (p.0059, lines 1-4; p.0039, lines 1-6; p.0049, lines 1-7; the SIM card change information is communicated to the service coordinator when it is determined that the SIM card has changed and the database of the service coordinator is updated, it is inherent the records of the database are check against the information received to update the database).

Regarding claim 12, Okkonen disclose the system of claim 11, wherein the transmitter transmits the configuration data to the server system in real time (p.0038; the agent reports the changes of SIM card to the service coordinator as they are detected, i.e. real time).

Application/Control Number: 10/706,173 Page 9

Art Unit: 2617

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 3 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over OKKONEN et al. in view of ROTH et al. (US 2005/0164692 A1).

Regarding claim 3, Okkonen discloses the method of claim 1, but does not expressly disclose transmitting the configuration data to the server system within a predetermined time period, if it is determined that the configuration data is modified in the mobile device.

However, in a related field of endeavor Roth teaches a method of wirelessly transmitting changes of user-configurable customization by a user of a mobile communication device to a remote server when detecting the user-configurable customization of any of the applications has changed since an earlier time, i.e. the updated database entries are transmitted at a predetermined time (abstract; p.0008, lines 1-18). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to one having ordinary skill in the art, to schedule at a predetermined time the transmission of the configuration data to the server as suggested by Roth, because scheduling of a data transfer to a server would ideally take place during a time period when the user is not using the device so as not to interfere with normal use.

Regarding claim 13, Okkonen discloses the system of claim 11, but does not expressly disclose transmitting the configuration data to the server system within a predetermined time

Application/Control Number: 10/706,173

Art Unit: 2617

period, if it is determined that the configuration data is modified in the mobile device. However, in a related field of endeavor Roth teaches a method of wirelessly transmitting changes of user configurable customization by a user of a mobile communication device to a remote server when detecting the user-configurable customization of any of the applications has changed since an earlier time, i.e. the updated database entries are transmitted at a predetermined time (abstract; p.0008, lines 1-18). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to one having ordinary skill in the art, to schedule at a predetermined time the transmission of the configuration data to the server as suggested by Roth, because scheduling of a data transfer to a server would ideally take place during a time period when the user is not using the device so as not to interfere with normal use.

11. Claims 7, 9, 17, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over OKKONEN et al. in view of CHILDS et al. (US 2002/0107868 A1).

Regarding claims 7 and 9, Okkonen discloses the method of claim 1, but does not expressly disclose further comprising: determining whether the configuration data transmitted to the server is invalid and further generating an alert when the configuration is invalid.

However, Childs teaches a method and system for collecting data, e.g. "RAM data", from distributed locations and transmitting the data to a server computer. The data can be collected on periodic basis and the collected data is transmitted to a server computer, and when the server computer receives the data, it validates the data, if valid, automatically stores the data in a database. When the data is <u>invalid</u> the server computer sends an error message (i.e., alert) so that the error can be corrected (p.0018).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time

of the invention, to determine whether the configuration data (i.e., RAM data) transmitted to the server is invalid and further generating an alert when the configuration data is invalid, as suggested by Childs, in order to correct errors in the data deemed to be invalid and assuring the quality (e.g. validity) of the data that will be stored in the server database.

Regarding claims 17 and 19, Okkonen discloses the system of claim 11, but does not expressly disclose further comprising: determining whether the configuration data transmitted to the server is invalid and further generating an alert when the configuration is invalid.

However, Childs teaches a method and system for collecting data, e.g. "RAM data", from distributed locations and transmitting the data to a server computer. The data can be collected on periodic basis and the collected data is transmitted to a server computer, and when the server computer receives the data, it validates the data, if valid, automatically stores the data in a database. When the data is <u>invalid</u> the server computer sends an error message (i.e., alert) so that the error can be corrected (p.0018).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to determine whether the configuration data (i.e., RAM data) transmitted to the server is invalid and further generating an alert when the configuration data is invalid, as suggested by Childs, in order to correct errors in the data deemed to be invalid and assuring the quality (e.g. validity) of the data that will be stored in the server database.

12. Claims 8, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over OKKONEN et al. in view of CHILDS et al., and further in view of BARTELS et al. (US 2003/0208704 A1).

Regarding claim 8, the combination of Okkonen and Childs disclose the method of

Application/Control Number: 10/706,173

Art Unit: 2617

claim 7, Childs discloses correcting the configuration data, when the configuration data is invalid (p.0018; also see remarks of claims 7 and 9 above), but does not expressly disclose wherein the data can be corrected automatically. However, the automatic correction of invalid data is well known in the art and Bartels is evidence of the fact. Bartels teaches a computer systems that includes an error detector for detecting errors or corruptions in data stored (i.e., invalid) and is capable of automatically correct the errors without user intervention (p.0014; p.0020).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to modify Childs for automatically correcting the invalid data, as suggested by Bartels, in order to correct the invalid data without user intervention, therefore, reducing the time it takes to correct errors in data.

Regarding claim 18, the combination of Okkonen and Childs disclose the system of claim 17, Childs discloses correcting the configuration data, when the configuration data is invalid (p.0018; also see remarks of claims 7 and 9 above), but does not expressly disclose wherein the data can be corrected automatically. However, the automatic correction of invalid data is well known in the art and Bartels is evidence of the fact. Bartels teaches a computer systems that includes an error detector for detecting errors or corruptions in data stored (i.e., invalid) and is capable of automatically correct the errors without user intervention (p.0014; p.0020).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to modify Childs for automatically correcting the invalid data, as suggested by Bartels, in order to correct the invalid data without user intervention, therefore, reducing the time it takes to correct errors in data.

13. Claims 10 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over OKKONEN et al. in view of HILTUNEN et al. (US 2004/0042604 A1).

Regarding claim 10, Okkonen discloses the method of claim 1, but does not expressly disclose wherein the configuration data comprises at least one of an access point name (APN), a web gateway internet protocol (IP) address, a short messaging service center (SMSC), system identification code (SID), system dependent information, and communication environment dependent information.

However, Hiltunen teaches that a SIM card stores information used by the microprocessor to enable the telephone to communicate on the appropriate network, other data stored may be used to for example to control, or modify the operation of the mobile telephone. And such information stored comprises subscriber related data, e.g., subscriber number, system ID, system channel scan data and serial number (p.0033). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to recognize that a SIM card comprises configuration data, e.g., as system identification, as taught by Hiltunen, because data stored in the SIM card (e.g., system ID) enables the telephone to communicate on the appropriate network and also to control or modify the operation of the telephone (p.0033, lines 21-26).

Regarding claim 20, Okkonen discloses the system of claim 11, but does not expressly disclose wherein the configuration data comprises at least one of an access point name (APN), a web gateway internet protocol (IP) address, a short messaging service center (SMSC), system identification code (SID), system dependent information, and communication environment dependent information.

Art Unit: 2617 .

However, Hiltunen teaches that a SIM card stores information used by the microprocessor to enable the telephone to communicate on the appropriate network, other data stored may be used to for example modify the operation of the mobile telephone. And such information stored comprises subscriber related data, e.g., subscriber number, system ID, system channel scan data and serial number (p.0033). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to recognize that a SIM card comprises configuration data, e.g., as system identification, as taught by Hiltunen, because data stored in the SIM card (e.g., system ID) enables the telephone to communicate on the appropriate network and also to control or modify the operation of the telephone (p.0033, lines 21-26).

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,173 Page 15

Art Unit: 2617

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Marisol Figueroa whose telephone number is (571) 272-7840.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Thru Friday 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lester G. Kincaid can be reached on (571) 272-7922. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Marisol Figueroa Art Unit 2617

> LESTER G. KINCAID SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER